



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/697,470	10/30/2003	Jae-Hyoung Kim	678-1245 (P11192) 7431	
66547	7590 11/15/2007		EXAM	INER
THE FARRELL LAW FIRM, P.C. 333 EARLE OVINGTON BOULEVARD			TRAN, TUAN A	
SUITE 701 UNIONDALE	NY 11553		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
011101101	,		2618	
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•	•	11/15/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/697,470	KIM, JAE-HYOUNG				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tuan A. Tran	2618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 A	<u>ugust 2007</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-11</u> is/are pending in the ap	plication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-11</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-11</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	s have been received					
1. Certified copies of the priority document2. Certified copies of the priority document		ion No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	. 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

10/697,470 Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-2 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gancarcik et al. (7,085,591) in view of Hulvey (2003/0197488).

Regarding claims 1-2 and 6, Gancarcik discloses a communication apparatus using Bluetooth wireless communication (See fig. 1) comprising: a Bluetooth wireless terminal 14 having a user interface (display and keypad), a first Bluetooth module, and a controller for transmitting a wired communication request signal (request for wired communication service) which includes a user-entered phone number to a wired phone 12 via the first Bluetooth module on an established Bluetooth link; and the wired phone 12 having a second Bluetooth module, and connecting the Bluetooth wireless terminal 14 with a wired network upon receiving the communication request signal from the Bluetooth wireless terminal 14 (See figs. 1, 3-4 and col. 2 line 60 to col. 3 line 46, col. 4 line 13 to col. 5 line 66). However, Gancarcik does not explicitly mention that the Bluetooth link has been manually established via the user interface (at least a prescribed key being pressed for transmitting a Bluetooth ID necessary to establish the link). Since the technique of establishing Bluetooth link manually via user interface (display and keypad) wherein at least a prescribed key being pressed for transmitting an

Application/Control Number:

10/697,470 Art Unit: 2618

Bluetooth ID necessary to establish the link, is known in the art as taught by Hulvey (See fig. 11 and page 5 [0065-0066]); therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of Hulvey in configuring the communication apparatus disclosed by Gancarcik by setting the Bluetooth link manually via user interface for the advantage of giving the user a higher degree of freedom in dictating when to establish a communication link in order to conserve power.

 Claims 3-5 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gancarcik et al. (7,085,591) in view of Hulvey (2003/0197488) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Larsson (6,697,638) or Plasson (6,795,688).

Regarding claims 3-4 and 11, Gancarcik & Hulvey disclose as cited in claims 1-2. However, they do not mention that the wired phone comprises a pre-authorized Bluetooth address list created/updated manually by a user via user interface. Since the technique of creating/updating a pre-authorized Bluetooth address list via user interface for used in a Bluetooth device is known in the art as taught by Larsson (See col. 6 lines 41-64) or Plasson (col. 14 lines 8-17, lines 46-59); therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of Larsson or Plasson in configuring the wired phone as disclosed by Gancarcik & Hulvey with capability of creating/updating manually via user interface a pre-authorized Bluetooth address list for the advantage of preventing unauthorized and/or unnecessary Bluetooth communications and/or access.

Application/Control Number:

10/697,470 Art Unit: 2618

Regarding claim 5, Gancarcik & Hulvey disclose as cited in claim 3. However, they do not mention that the wired phone comprises a standby state display for indicating a communication standby state when the Bluetooth wireless terminal gains access to the wired phone and a line-busy state display for indicating that the Bluetooth wireless terminal is receiving a communication service using the wired phone. Since wired phones (i.e. desk phone) having standby and line-busy indicators (or displays) for visually conveying call status to users is well known in the art; therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to configure the wired phone with such displays for the advantage of visually conveying call status to the users to prevent unnecessary dialing when the phone is busy.

Claims 7-10 are rejected for the same reasons as set forth in claims 1-6, as method.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 and 7-11 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan A. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-7858. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 10:00AM-6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Anderson can be reached on (571) 272-4177. The fax phone

10/697,470

Art Unit: 2618

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tuan Tran AU 2618